Grg. 466A-468E (Zeyl's tr.)

466a4 {ΠΩΛ.} Τί οὖν φής; κολακεία δοκεῖ σοι εἶναι ἡ

466a5 ὁητορική;

PO: What is it you are saying, then? You think oratory is flattery?

466a6 {ΣΩ.} Κολακείας μὲν οὖν ἔγωγε εἶπον μόριον. ἀλλ'

466α7 οὐ μνημονεύεις τηλικοῦτος ἄν, ὧ Πῶλε; τί τάχα

466a8 δράσεις;

SO: I said that it was a *part* of flattery. Don't you remember, Polus, young as you are? What's to become of you?

466a9 {ΠΩΛ.} *Αρ' οὖν δοκοῦσί σοι ὡς κόλακες ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι

466a10 φαῦλοι νομίζεσθαι οἱ ἀγαθοὶ ὁήτορες;

PO: So you think that good orators are held in low regard in their cities, as flatterers?

466b1 {ΣΩ.} Ἐρώτημα τοῦτ' ἐρωτῆς ἢ λόγου τινὸς ἀρχὴν λέγεις;

SO: Is this a question you are asking, or some speech you're beginning?

466b2 $\{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Έρωτῶ ἔγωγε. / PO: I'm asking a question.

466b3 {ΣΩ.} Οὐδὲ νομίζεσθαι ἔμοιγε δοκοῦσιν.

SO: I don't think they're held in any regard at all.

466b4 $\{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}\Pi\tilde{\omega}$ ς οὐ νομίζεσ ϑ αι; οὐ μέγιστον δύνανται ἐν ταῖς

466b5 πόλεσιν;

PO: What do you mean, they're not held in any regard? Don't they have the greatest power in their cities?

466b6 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὔκ, εἰ τὸ δύνασ\$αί γε λέγεις ἀγα\$όν τι εἶναι τ $\tilde{\phi}$

466b7 δυναμένω.

SO: No, if by "having power" you mean something that's good for the one who has the power.

466b8 ${\Pi\Omega\Lambda.}$ 'Αλλὰ μὴν λέγω γε. / PO: That's just what I do mean.

466b9 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Έλάχιστον τοίνυν μοι δοκοῦσι τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει

466b10 δύνασθαι οἱ ἡήτορες.

SO: In that case I think that orators have the least power of any in the city.

466b11 $\{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Τί δέ; οὐχ, ὥσπερ οἱ τύραννοι, ἀποκτεινύασίν τε

466c1 δν ἂν <u>βούλωνται</u>, καὶ ἀφαιροῦνται χρήματα καὶ ἐκβάλλουσιν

466c2 ἐκ τῶν πόλεων ὃν ἂν δοκῆ αὐτοῖς;

PO: Really, don't they, like tyrants, put to death anyone they \underline{want} , and confiscate the property and banish from their city anyone \underline{they} see \underline{fit} ?¹

466c3 {ΣΩ.} Νὴ τὸν κύνα, ἀμφιγνοῶ μέντοι, ὧ Πῶλε, ἐφ' ἑκάστου

466c4 ὧν λέγεις πότερον αὐτὸς ταῦτα λέγεις καὶ γνώμην σαυτοῦ

466c5 ἀποφαίνη, ἢ ἐμὲ ἐρωτῆς.

SO: By the Dog, Polus! I can't make out one way or the other with each thing you're saying whether you're saying these things for yourself and revealing your own view, or whether you're questioning me.

466c6 $\{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ 'Αλλ' ἔγωγε σὲ ἐρωτῶ. / PO: I'm questioning you.

466c7 {ΣΩ.} Εἶεν, ὧ φίλε· ἔπειτα δύο ἄμα με ἐρωτᾶς;

SO: Very well, my friend. In that case, are you asking me two questions at once?

¹ "They see fit": dokei autois. Schleiermacher has "... ihnen gut dünkt". – GH (23.5.2011): was ihnen paßt

466c8 ${\Pi\Omega\Lambda.}$ Πῶς δύο; / PO: What do you mean, two? 466c9 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὐκ ἄρτι οὕτω πως ἔλεγες: " 3 Η οὐχὶ ἀποκτεινύασιν 466d1 οἱ ἡήτορες οὓς ἂν βούλωνται, ὤσπερ οἱ τύραννοι, καὶ χρήματα 466d2 ἀφαιροῦνται καὶ ἐξελαύνουσιν ἐκ τῶν πόλεων ὃν ἂν δοκῆ 466d3 αὐτοῖς;" SO: Weren't you just now saying something like "Don't orators, like tyrants, put to death anyone they want, don't they confiscate the property of anyone they se fit, and don't they banish them from their cities?" 466d4 $\{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ "Eywye. / PO: Yes, I was. 466d5 {ΣΩ.} Λέγω τοίνυν σοι ὅτι δύο ταῦτ' ἐστιν τὰ ἐρωτήματα, 466d6 καὶ ἀποκρινοῦμαί γέ σοι πρὸς ἀμφότερα. φημὶ γάρ, ὧ 466d7 Πῶλε, ἐγὼ καὶ τοὺς ὁήτορας καὶ τοὺς τυράννους δύνασθαι 466d8 μεν εν ταῖς πόλεσιν σμικρότατον, ὥσπερ νυνδή ἔλεγον οὐδεν 466e1 γὰο ποιεῖν ὧν βούλονται ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, ποιεῖν μέντοι ὅτι 466e2 <u>ἂν αὐτοῖς δόξη βέλτιστον εἶναι</u>. SO: In that case I say that there are two questions, and I'll answer you both of them. I say, Polus, that both orators and tyrants have the least power in their cities, as I was saying just now. For they do just about nothing they want to, though they certainly do whatever they see most fit to do. 466e3 {ΠΩΛ.} Οὐκοῦν τοῦτο ἔστιν τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι; PO: Well, isn't this having great power? 466e4 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὔχ, ὤς γέ φησιν Πῶλος. / SO: No; at least Polus says it isn't. 466e5 ${\Pi\Omega\Lambda}$. Έγ $\dot{\omega}$ οὔ φημι; φημὶ μὲν οὖν ἔγωγε. / PO: I say it isn't? I certainly say it is! 466e6 {ΣΩ.} Μὰ τὸν—οὐ σύ γε, ἐπεὶ τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι ἔφης 466e7 ἀγαθὸν εἶναι τῷ δυναμένῳ. SO: By ..., you certainly don't! since you say that having great power is good for the one who has it. 466e8 ${ΠΩΛ.} Φημὶ γὰο οὖν. / PO: Yes, I do day that.$ 466e9 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ 'Aya ϑ òv oὖv oἴει εἶναι, ἐάν τις ποιῆ ταῦτα $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial v}$ 466e10 δοκῆ αὐτῷ βέλτιστα εἶναι, νοῦν μὴ ἔχων; καὶ τοῦτο καλεῖς 466e11 σὺ μέγα δύνασθαι; SO: Do you think it's good, then, if a person does whatever he sees most fit to do when he lacks intelligence?² Do you call this "having great power" too? 466e12 ${\Pi\Omega\Lambda.}$ Οὐκ ἔγωγε. / PO: No, I don't {ΣΩ.} Οὐκοῦν ἀποδείξεις τοὺς ῥήτορας νοῦν ἔχοντας καὶ 466e13 467a1 τέχνην τὴν ὁητορικὴν ἀλλὰ μὴ κολακείαν, ἐμὲ ἐξελέγξας; εἰ 467a2 δέ με ἐάσεις ἀνέλεγκτον, οἱ ἡτορες οἱ ποιοῦντες ἐν ταῖς 467a3 πόλεσιν & δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς καὶ οἱ τύραννοι οὐδὲν ἀγαθὸν τοῦτο 467a4 κεκτήσονται, ή δὲ δύναμίς ἐστιν, ώς σὺ φής, ἀγαθόν, τὸ 467a5 δὲ ποιεῖν ἄνευ νοῦ <u>ὰ δοκεῖ</u> καὶ σὺ ὁμολογεῖς κακὸν εἶναι· 467a6 ἢ oὔ;

SO: Will you refute me, then, and prove that orators do have intelligence, and that oratory is a craft, and not flattery? If you leave me unrefuted, then the orators who do what they see fit in their cities, and the tyrants, too, won't have gains any good by this. Power is a good thing you say, but you agree with me that doing what one sees fit without intelligence is bad. Or don't you?

² "Intelligence": *nous*. Schleiermacher's "Erkenntnis" isn't better. But note that having *nous* here serves as a criterion for being a practitioner of a craft ($techn\hat{e}$, cf. e13-a1 where kai = "that is"; see Penner 1991, 156).

```
467a7 \{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\} Έγωγε. / PO: Yes, I do.
```

467a8 {ΣΩ.} Πῶς ἂν οὖν οἱ ῥήτορες μέγα δύναιντο ἢ οἱ τύραννοι

467a9 ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν, ἐὰν μὴ Σωκράτης ἐξελεγχθῆ ὑπὸ Πώλου ὅτι

467a10 ποιοῦσιν <u>α βούλονται</u>;

SO: How then could it be that orators or tyrants have great power in their cities, so long as Socrates in no refuted by Polus to show that they do <u>what they want?</u>

```
467b1 \{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\} Οὖτος ἀνήρ / PO: This fellow--
```

467b2 {ΣΩ.} Οὔ φημι ποιεῖν αὐτοὺς <u>ἃ βούλονται·</u> ἀλλά μ' ἔλεγχε.

SO: --denies that they do what they want. Go ahead and refute me.

467b3 {ΠΩΛ.} Οὐκ ἄρτι ώμολόγεις ποιεῖν <u>ᾶ δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς βέλτιστα</u>

467b4 <u>εἶναι</u>, [τούτου πρόσθεν];

PO: Didn't you just now agree that they do what they see fit?

467b5 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Καὶ γὰο νῦν ὁμολογῶ. / SO: Yet, and I still do.

467b6 ${\Pi\Omega\Lambda}$. Οὐκ οὖν ποιοῦσιν $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ βούλονται; / PO: Don't they do what they want, then?

467b7 ${\Sigma\Omega.}$ Οὔ φημι. / SO: I say they don't.

467b8 ${\Pi\Omega\Lambda}$. Ποιοῦντες <u>α̂ δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς</u>; / PO: Even though they do <u>what they see fit?</u>

467b9 $\{\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Φημί. / SO: That's what I say.

467b10 {ΠΩΛ.} Σχέτλιά γε λέγεις καὶ ὑπερφυῆ, ὧ Σώκρατες.

PO: What an outrageous thing to say, Socrates! Perfectly monstrous!

467b11 {ΣΩ.} Μὴ κακηγόρει, ὧ λῷστε Πῶλε, ἵνα προσείπω σε

467c1 κατὰ σέ ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν ἔχεις ἐμὲ ἐρωτᾶν, ἐπίδειξον ὅτι

467c2 ψεύδομαι, εἰ δὲ μή, αὐτὸς ἀποκρίνου.

SO: Don't attack me, my peerless Polus, to address you in your own style. Instead, question me if you can, and prove me that I'm wrong. Otherwise you must answer me.

467c3 $\{\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ 'Αλλ' ἐθέλω ἀποκρίνεσθαι, ἵνα καὶ εἰδῶ ὅτι

467c4 λέγεις.

PO: All right, I'm willing to answer, to get some idea of what you are saying.

467c5 {ΣΩ.} Πότερον οὖν σοι δοκοῦσιν οἱ ἄνθρωποι τοῦτο βού-

467c6 <u>λεσθαι</u> δ ἂν πράττωσιν ἑκάστοτε, ἢ ἐκεῖνο οὖ ἕνεκα πράτ-

467c7 τουσιν τοῦθ' ὁ πράττουσιν; οἶον οἱ τὰ φάρμακα πίνοντες

467c8 παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν πότερόν σοι δοκοῦσιν τοῦτο <u>βούλεσθαι</u>

467c9 ὅπερ ποιοῦσιν, πίνειν τὸ φάρμακον καὶ ἀλγεῖν, ἢ ἐκεῖνο,

467c10 τὸ ὑγιαίνειν, οὖ ἕνεκα πίνουσιν; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Δῆλον ὅτι τὸ

467d1 ύγιαίνειν.

SO: Do you think that when people do something, they <u>want</u> the thing they're doing at the time, or the thing for the sake of which they do what they're doing? Do you think that people who take medicines prescribed by their doctors, for instance, <u>want</u> what thy are doing, the act of taking the medicine, with all its discomfort, or do they <u>want</u> to be healthy, the thing for the sake of which they're taking it?

PO: Obviously they want their being healthy.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὐκοῦν καὶ οἱ πλέοντές τε καὶ τὸν ἄλλον

467d2 χοηματισμον χοηματιζόμενοι οὐ τοῦτό ἐστιν ὁ <u>βούλονται</u>, ὁ

467d3 ποιοῦσιν έκάστοτε (τίς γὰο <u>βούλεται</u> πλεῖν τε καὶ κινδυ-

467d4 νεύειν καὶ πράγματ' ἔχειν;) ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο οἶμαι οὖ ἕνεκα

467d5 πλέουσιν, πλουτεῖν πλούτου γὰο ἕνεκα πλέουσιν. {-ΠΩΛ.}

467d6 Πάνυ γε.

SO: With seafarers, too, and those who make money in other ways, the thing they are doing at the time is not the thing they <u>want</u> -- for who <u>wants</u> to make dangerous and troublesome seavoyages? What they <u>want</u> is their being wealthy, the thing for the sake of which, I suppose, they make their voyages. It's for the sake of wealth that they make them.

PO: Yes, that's right.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ "Αλλο τι οὖν οὕτω καὶ περὶ πάντων; ἐάν τίς

467d7 τι πράττη ἕνεκά του, οὐ τοῦτο <u>βούλεται</u> ὁ πράττει, ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο

467e1 οὖ ἕνεκα πράττει; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Ναί.

SO: Isn't it just the same in all cases, in fact? If a person does anything for the sake of something, he doesn't <u>want</u> this thing that he's doing, but the thing for the sake of which he's doing it? PO: Yes.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Aq' oὖν ἔστιν τι τῶν

467e2 ὄντων ὁ οὐχὶ ἤτοι ἀγαθόν γ' ἐστὶν ἢ κακὸν ἢ μεταξὺ τούτων,

467e3 οὔτε ἀγαθὸν οὔτε κακόν; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Πολλὴ ἀνάγκη, ὧ Σώ-

467e4 κρατες.

SO: Now is there any thing that isn't either *good*, or *bad*, or, what is between these, *neither good nor bad*?

PO: There can't be, Socrates.3

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὐκοῦν λέγεις εἶναι ἀγαθὸν μὲν σοφίαν τε καὶ

467e5 ύγίειαν καὶ πλοῦτον καὶ τἆλλα τὰ τοιαῦτα, κακὰ δὲ τἀναντία

467e6 τούτων; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Έγωγε.

SO: Do you say that wisdom, health, wealth and the like are good, and their opposites bad? PO: Yes, I do.

{-ΣΩ.} Τὰ δὲ μήτε ἀγαθὰ μήτε

467e7 κακὰ ἄρα τοιάδε λέγεις, ὰ ἐνίοτε μὲν μετέχει τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ,

468a1 ἐνίστε δὲ τοῦ κακοῦ, ἐνίστε δὲ οὐδετέρου, οἶον καθῆσθαι καὶ

468a2 βαδίζειν καὶ τρέχειν καὶ πλεῖν, καὶ οἶον αὖ λίθους καὶ ξύλα

468a3 καὶ τἄλλα τὰ τοιαῦτα; οὐ ταῦτα λέγεις; ἢ ἄλλ' ἄττα καλεῖς

468a4 τὰ μήτε ἀγαθὰ μήτε κακά; {-ΠΩΛ.} Οὔκ, ἀλλὰ ταῦτα.

SO: And by the things which are neither good nor bad you mean things which sometimes partake of what's good,⁴ sometimes of what's bad, and sometimes of neither, such as sitting or walking, running or making sea voyages, or stones or sticks and the like? Aren't these the ones you mean? Or are there any others that you call things neither good nor bad?

PO: No, These are the ones.

468a5 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Πότερον οὖν τὰ μεταξὺ ταῦτα ἕνεκα τῶν ἀγαθῶν πράτ-

468a6 τουσιν ὅταν πράττωσιν, ἢ τἀγαθὰ τῶν μεταξύ; {-ΠΩΛ.} Τὰ

468b1 μεταξύ δήπου τῶν ἀγαθῶν.

SO: Now whenever people do things do they do these intermediate things for the sake of the good ones, or the good things for the sake of the intermediate ones?

PO: The intermediate things for the sake of the good ones, surely.

³ The negation is missing in the Greek. Schleiermacher inserts "eins von diesen".

⁴ If I have grasped Penner's point right, "partaking" (*metechein*) is the key term in the argument.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Tò àya ϑ òv ǎga δ ıώκοντες

468b2 καὶ βαδίζομεν ὅταν βαδίζωμεν, οἰόμενοι βέλτιον εἶναι, καὶ

468b3 τὸ ἐναντίον ἔσταμεν ὅταν ἑστῶμεν, τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἕνεκα, τοῦ

468b4 ἀγαθοῦ· ἢ οὔ; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Ναί.

SO: So it's because we pursue what's good that we walk whenever we walk; we suppose that it's better to walk. And conversely, whenever we stand still, we stand still for the sake of the same thing, what's good. Isn't that so?

PO: Yes.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὐκοῦν καὶ ἀποκτείνυ-

468b5 μεν, εἴ τιν' ἀποκτείνυμεν, καὶ ἐκβάλλομεν καὶ ἀφαιρούμεθα

468b6 χρήματα, οἰόμενοι ἄμεινον εἶναι ἡμῖν ταῦτα ποιεῖν ἢ μή; {-

468b7 ΠΩΛ.} Πάνυ γε.

SO: And don't we also put a person to death, if we do, or banish him and confiscate his property because we suppose that doing these things is better for us than not doing them?⁵

PO: That's right.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Ένεκ' ἄρα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἄπαντα

468b8 ταῦτα ποιοῦσιν οἱ ποιοῦντες. {-ΠΩΛ.} Φημί.

SO: Hence, it's for the sake of what's good that those who do all these things do them.

PO: I agree

{-ΣΩ.} Οὐκοῦν

468b9 ώμολογήσαμεν, ὰ ἕνεκά του ποιοῦμεν, μὴ ἐκεῖνα <u>βούλεσθαι</u>,

468c1 $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ ἐκεῖνο οὖ ἕνεκα ταῦτα ποιοῦμεν; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Μάλιστα.

SO: Now didn't we agree that we <u>want</u>, not those things that we do for the sake of something, but that thing for the sake of which we do them?

PO: Yes, very much so.

468c2 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Οὐκ ἄρα σφάττειν <u>βουλόμεθα</u> οὐδ' ἐκβάλλειν ἐκ τῶν

468c3 πόλεων οὐδὲ χρήματα ἀφαιρεῖσθαι ἁπλῶς οὕτως, ἀλλ' ἐὰν

468c4 μὲν ἀφέλιμα ἦ ταῦτα, <u>βουλόμεθα</u> πράττειν αὐτά, βλαβερὰ

468c5 δὲ ὄντα οὐ <u>βουλόμεθα</u>. τὰ γὰο ἀγαθὰ <u>βουλόμεθα</u>, ὡς φής

468c6 σύ, τὰ δὲ μήτε ἀγαθὰ μήτε κακὰ οὐ <u>βουλόμεθα</u>, οὐδὲ τὰ

468c7 κακά. ἦ γάο; ἀληθῆ σοι δοκῶ λέγειν, ὧ Πῶλε, ἢ οὔ; τί

468c8 οὐκ ἀποκρίνη; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ 'Αληθῆ.

SO: Hence, we don't simply <u>want</u> to slaughter people, or exile them from their cities and confiscate their property as such; we <u>want</u> to do these things if they are beneficial, ⁶ but if they are harmful we don't (sc. <u>want</u>, GH). For we <u>want</u> the things that are good, as you agree, and we don't <u>want</u> those that are neither good nor bad, nor those that are bad. Right? Do you think that what I'm saying is true, Polus, or don't you? Why don't you answer?

PO: I think it's true

-

⁵ "For us" (*hêmin*) did not occur earlier. I doubt that it adds anything to the meaning of "good". Otherwise, Polus is being deceived.

⁶ Both *ouk ... haplôs houtôs* and *all' ean* etc. qualify *boulometa*. Stripped of the context, the translation might leave it open whether "if ..." qualifies "we want" (which gives the correct meaning) or "to do".

It should be also noted that Zeyl leaves *hêi tauta* (c4; "as such", i.e. beneficial) untranslated.

468d1 {ΣΩ.} Οὐκοῦν εἴπερ ταῦτα ὁμολογοῦμεν, εἴ τις ἀποκτείνει

468d2 τινὰ ἢ ἐκβάλλει ἐκ πόλεως ἢ ἀφαιρεῖται χρήματα, εἴτε

468d3 τύραννος ὢν εἴτε ὁήτωρ, οἰόμενος ἄμεινον εἶναι αὐτῷ, τυγχά-

468d4 νει δὲ ὂν κάκιον, οὖτος δήπου ποιεῖ $\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha}}$ δοκεῖ $\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\nu}}$ ἤ γάρ; $\{-$

468d5 ΠΩΛ.} Ναί.

SO: Since we are in agreement about that then, if a person who's a tyrant or an orator puts somebody to death or exiles him or confiscates his property because he supposes that doing so is better for himself when actually it's worse, this person, I take it, is doing what he sees fit, isn't he? PO: Yes.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Aq' oὖν καὶ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ βούλεται, εἴπες τυγχάνει

468d6 ταῦτα κακὰ ὄντα; τί οὐκ ἀποκρίνη; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ 'Αλλ' οὔ μοι

468d7 δοκεῖ ποιεῖν <u>& βούλεται</u>.

SO: And is he also doing what he wants, if these things are actually bad? Why don't you answer?

PO: All right, I don't think he's doing what he wants.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ Έστιν οὖν ὅπως ὁ τοιοῦτος

468e1 μέγα δύναται ἐν τῆ πόλει ταύτη, εἴπεο ἐστὶ τὸ μέγα δύνα-

468e2 σθαι ἀγαθόν τι κατὰ τὴν σὴν ὁμολογίαν; $\{-\Pi\Omega\Lambda.\}$ Οὐκ

468e3 ἔστιν.

SO: Can such a man possibly have great power in that city, if in fact having great power is, as you agree, something good?

PO: He cannot.

 $\{-\Sigma\Omega.\}$ 'Alhôñ ắρα ἐγὼ ἔλεγον, λέγων ὅτι ἔστιν ἄν-

468e4 θρωπον ποιοῦντα ἐν πόλει <u>ᾶ δοκεῖ αὐτῷ</u> μὴ μέγα δύνασθαι

468e5 μηδὲ ποιεῖν <u>α βούλεται</u>.

SO: So, what I was saying is true, when I said that it is possible for a man who does in his city what he sees fit not to have great power, nor to be doing what he wants.

Zitierte Literatur:

Penner, T. and Rowe, C.J. [1994]: "The Desire for Good: Is the *Meno* Inconsistent with the *Gorgias?*", *Phronesis* 39.1, 1-25 [referred to as P&R]

Penner, T. [1991]: "Desire and Power in Socrates: The Argument of Gorgias 466A-468E that Orators and Tyrants Have No Power in the City", *Apeiron* 24, 147-202

Plato: Complete Works, ed. by J. Cooper and D.S. Hutchinson, Indianapolis: Hackett 1997 (darin: *Grg.* von D. Zeyl, *Men.* von Grube etc.)

Reshotko, Naomi [2006]: Socratic Virtue. Making the Best of the Neither-Good-nor-Bad, CUP