

Some notes concerning bounds for m-cycles in the Collatz (3x+1)-problem

In this note I discuss a method to estimate bounds for cycles of a certain form under the Collatz (3x+1)-transformation. The notion is adapted to the 1-cycle and m-cycle-concept as named by Ray Steiner in 1978 and Simons and DeWeger/Simons 2000 and 2003.

I developed this type of computation in the previous years independently ("primitive loop") but found then articles covering the same subject and partly with the same tools. However I didn't see the complete computation anywhere yet, so it may be new, or at least somehow useful in detailing.

Comments are much appreciated, please mail to helms@uni-kassel.de

Aug 2006, Gottfried Helms

Denote a collatz-transformation, where a and b are odd numbers, and A is chosen appropriately:

$$(1) \quad b = T(a; A) \quad := b = \frac{3 * a + 1}{2^A}$$

and let a transformation of more steps simply be written as

$$(2) \quad a_N = T(a_0; A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{N-1})$$

then a cycle would occur, if $a_k = a_0$

$$(3) \quad a_0 = T(a_0; A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{N-1})$$

A transformation, which ascends only and descends in one transformation at the end looks like

$$(4) \quad a_N = T(a_0; 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, A_{N-1})$$

define also $PT(a; N; A) := T(a; 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, A)$ where $N = \text{number-of-steps}$

and a cycle, which ascends only and descends in one transformation at the end looks like

$$(5) \quad a = T(a; 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, A) = PT(a; N; A)$$

with N steps. Let's call such transformations "1-peak-transformation" and such cycles "1-peak-cycle".

We have for a 1-peak-cycle of the type (N steps or $N-1$ times the coefficient 1)

$$(6) \quad a = T(a; 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, A) = PT(a; N : A)$$

then a must satisfy two congruences with a free parameter k

$$(7) \quad a = \frac{k * 3^N - 1}{2^{A-1}} = k * 2^N - 1$$

Proof:

expand a series of steps, here $b = T(a; 1, 1, A) = PT(a; 3 : A)$

$$(8) \quad b = \frac{\frac{\frac{a * 3 + 1}{2} * 3 + 1}{2} * 3 + 1}{2^A} = \frac{\frac{a * 3^2 + 3^1 + 2}{2^2} * 3 + 1}{2^A} = \frac{a * 3^3 + 3^2 + 3^1 * 2 + 2^2}{2^{2+A}} = a \frac{3^3}{2^{3+A-1}} + \frac{3^3 - 2^3}{2^{3+A-1}}$$

Generally:

$$(9) \quad b = a * \frac{3^N}{2^{N+A-1}} + \frac{3^N - 2^N}{2^{N+A-1}} = PT(a; N : A)$$

From here follows:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} b * 2^{N+A-1} &= (a+1) * 3^N - 2^N \\ (b * 2^{A-1} + 1) * 2^N &= (a+1) * 3^N \end{aligned}$$

Rearrange to see the required congruence-classes:

$$(11) \quad \frac{b * 2^{A-1} + 1}{3^N} = \frac{a+1}{2^N}$$

and explicitly:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= k * 2^N - 1 \\ b &= \frac{k * 3^N - 1}{2^{A-1}} \end{aligned} \quad \text{since : } b * 2^{A-1} = k * 3^N - 1$$

To form a cycle, a equals b and a has to satisfy both conditions simultaneously.

End of proof.

Note, that since a and b must be odd by the definition of the transformation, k must be odd as well, and also, by the collatz-property, that the result of a transformation cannot be divisible by 3, in a cycle **no** element can be divisible by 3, so k needs not only to be odd, but is also required to keep the results a and b congruent 1 or -1 (mod 6). But that further restrictive requirements are not discussed here.

In the following these congruence-conditions are used to derive bounds for the exponents of an m -peak-cycle and the length N in relation to the number m of concatenations.

From (12) follows the proportion of b/a :

$$(13) \quad \frac{b}{a} = \frac{1}{2^{A-1}} * \frac{k * 3^N - 1}{k * 2^N - 1}$$

For a concatenation of 1-peak-transformations, finally forming a cycle:

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} b_0 &= PT(a_0; N_0 : A_0) \quad \text{use } b_0 \text{ as } a_1; \text{ then} \\ b_1 &= PT(a_1; N_1 : A_1) \quad \text{make it a cycle : } a_0 = b_1 \\ a_0 &= PT(a_0; N_0 : A_0, N_1 : A_1) \end{aligned}$$

we can write a table of conditions for the intermediate values of a_j , say $m=4$ and $j=0$ to $m-1$ according to each 1-peak-transformation:

$$(15) \quad a_0 = PT(a_0; N_0 : A_0, N_1 : A_1, N_2 : A_2, N_3 : A_3)$$

which gives, expressing the congruences for the 4 intermediate values,

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} a_0 &= k_0 * 2^{N_0} - 1 & \Rightarrow & \quad b_0 = \frac{k_0 * 3^{N_0} - 1}{2^{A_0-1}} \\ a_1 &= k_1 * 2^{N_1} - 1 & \Rightarrow & \quad b_1 = \frac{k_1 * 3^{N_1} - 1}{2^{A_1-1}} \\ a_2 &= k_2 * 2^{N_2} - 1 & \Rightarrow & \quad b_2 = \frac{k_2 * 3^{N_2} - 1}{2^{A_2-1}} \\ a_3 &= k_3 * 2^{N_3} - 1 & \Rightarrow & \quad b_3 = \frac{k_3 * 3^{N_3} - 1}{2^{A_3-1}} \end{aligned}$$

If such transformations are concatenated, then this means, that $a_1 = b_0$, $a_2 = b_1$, $a_3 = b_2$, and if it is finally a cycle, then also $a_0 = b_3$, and the four a -values must each satisfy two congruences.

Also dividing b_0 by a_1 , b_2 by a_1 etc is always 1, and the product of all that fractions is also 1.

$$(17) \quad \frac{b_0}{a_1} * \frac{b_1}{a_2} * \frac{b_2}{a_3} * \frac{b_3}{a_0} = \frac{1}{2^{A_0-1}} \frac{k_0 3^{N_0} - 1}{k_1 2^{N_1} - 1} * \frac{1}{2^{A_1-1}} \frac{k_1 3^{N_1} - 1}{k_2 2^{N_2} - 1} * \frac{1}{2^{A_2-1}} \frac{k_2 3^{N_2} - 1}{k_3 2^{N_3} - 1} * \frac{1}{2^{A_3-1}} \frac{k_3 3^{N_3} - 1}{k_0 2^{N_0} - 1}$$

Rearranging gives

$$(18) \quad 2^{A_0-1} * 2^{A_1-1} 2^{A_2-1} 2^{A_3-1} = \frac{k_0 3^{N_0} - 1}{k_1 2^{N_1} - 1} * \frac{k_1 3^{N_1} - 1}{k_2 2^{N_2} - 1} * \frac{k_2 3^{N_2} - 1}{k_3 2^{N_3} - 1} * \frac{k_3 3^{N_3} - 1}{k_0 2^{N_0} - 1}$$

and with a general number of m 1-peak-transformations forming the cycle:

$$(19) \quad 2^{A_0+A_1+A_2+A_3+\dots+A_{m-1}-m} = \frac{k_0 3^{N_0} - 1}{k_1 2^{N_1} - 1} * \frac{k_1 3^{N_1} - 1}{k_2 2^{N_2} - 1} * \frac{k_2 3^{N_2} - 1}{k_3 2^{N_3} - 1} * \dots * \frac{k_{m-1} 3^{N_{m-1}} - 1}{k_0 2^{N_0} - 1}$$

Now the denominators can be rotated:

$$(20) \quad 2^{A_0+A_1+A_2+A_3+\dots+A_{m-1}-m} = \frac{k_0 3^{N_0} - 1}{k_0 2^{N_0} - 1} * \frac{k_1 3^{N_1} - 1}{k_1 2^{N_1} - 1} * \frac{k_2 3^{N_2} - 1}{k_2 2^{N_2} - 1} * \dots * \frac{k_{m-1} 3^{N_{m-1}} - 1}{k_{m-1} 2^{N_{m-1}} - 1}$$

From this equation some sharp bounds for the possibility of a cycle can be derived.

For a term

$$(21) \quad t = \frac{k * 3^N - 1}{k * 2^N - 1}$$

one can write

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} t &= \frac{k * 3^N}{k * 2^N - 1} - \frac{1}{k * 2^N - 1} \\ &= k * 3^N \left(\frac{1}{k * 2^N} + \frac{1}{k * 2^N}^2 + \frac{1}{k * 2^N}^3 + \dots \right) - \left(\frac{1}{k * 2^N} + \frac{1}{k * 2^N}^2 + \frac{1}{k * 2^N}^3 + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{3^N}{2^N} + \left(\frac{3^N}{2^N} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{k * 2^N - 1} \\ &= \frac{3^N}{2^N} + \frac{3^N - 2^N}{k * 4^N - 2^N} \\ &= \frac{3^N}{2^N} + \delta_{N,k} \quad ; 0 < \delta_{N,k} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Which leads to

$$(23) \quad 2^{\Sigma(A)-m} = \left(\frac{3^{N_0}}{2^{N_0}} + \delta_{N_0,k_0} \right) * \left(\frac{3^{N_1}}{2^{N_1}} + \delta_{N_1,k_1} \right) * \left(\frac{3^{N_2}}{2^{N_2}} + \delta_{N_2,k_2} \right) * \dots * \left(\frac{3^{N_{m-1}}}{2^{N_{m-1}}} + \delta_{N_{m-1},k_{m-1}} \right)$$

Setting

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{N,k} &= \frac{2^N}{3^N} * \delta_{N,k} & 0 < \varepsilon_{N,k} < \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^N \\ \varepsilon_{N,k} &= \frac{2^N}{3^N} * \frac{1}{2^N} * \frac{3^N - 2^N}{k * 2^N - 1} = \frac{1 - \frac{2}{3}^N}{k * 2^N - 1} = \frac{1 - \frac{2}{3}^N}{a} & 0 < \varepsilon_{N,k} < \frac{1}{a} \end{aligned}$$

factoring for $3/2$ and multiplying through by 2^N gives

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} 2^{\Sigma(A)-m} &= \frac{3^N}{2^N} (1 + \varepsilon_{N_0,k_0}) (1 + \varepsilon_{N_1,k_1}) (1 + \varepsilon_{N_2,k_2}) \dots (1 + \varepsilon_{N_{m-1},k_{m-1}}) \\ 2^{N+\Sigma(A-1)} &= 3^N (1 + \varepsilon_{N_0,k_0}) (1 + \varepsilon_{N_1,k_1}) (1 + \varepsilon_{N_2,k_2}) \dots (1 + \varepsilon_{N_{m-1},k_{m-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

which means, that the rhs must be a perfect power of 2 greater than 3^N but smaller than $3^N * 2^m$.

Let $\beta = \log(3)/\log(2)$ and let e denote the exponent on the lhs, then e must be in the interval

$$(26) \quad N * \beta . < e < N * \beta + m$$

(which is much overestimated, since all ε in (25) are smaller than 1), and the sum of the m coefficients $A_j - 1$ must be in the interval:

$$(27) \quad N^* \beta \leq N - m + \Sigma(A_j) < N^* \beta + m$$

$$(28) \quad N^* (\beta - 1) < \Sigma(A_j - 1) < N^* (\beta - 1) + m$$

which is approximately

$$(29) \quad \text{ceil}(N^* 0.584) \leq \Sigma(A_j - 1) \leq \text{floor}(N^* 0.585) + m$$

One can see, that the concatenation of many 1-peak-transformations adds degrees of freedom for a solution because of widening the bounds in the above inequality.

The upper bound m for the interval is of little meaning; a better upper bound is (see eq.(24))

$$(30) \quad \log(1 + \varepsilon_{N,k}) < \varepsilon_{N,k} < 1/(k^* 2^N - 1)$$

so

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ceil}(N^* (\beta - 1)) &\leq \Sigma(A_k - 1) &< \text{floor}(N^* (\beta - 1) + \Sigma 1/(k_j^* 2^{N_j} - 1)/\log(2)) \\ &&< \text{floor}(N^* (\beta - 1) + \Sigma 1/a_j/\log(2)) \end{aligned}$$

from which, given a certain overall length N of the m -peak-cycle, bounds for the least possible number of concatenations m can be computed, and conversely, given a number m of concatenations, a lower bound for the overall length N of the cycle can be computed as well.

Note also that $\varepsilon_{N,k}$ contains the value of the element a in its denominator such that

$$(32) \quad \varepsilon_{N_j, k_j} = \frac{1 - 2^{-N_j}}{a_j} \leq \frac{1}{2^{N_j}}$$

and, following an empirical result of Eric Roosendaal, all $1 < a_j < 2^{50}$ are known not to be a member of a cycle, we have already by empirical results, that all $\varepsilon_j < 2^{-50}$ and in (31) we have an upper bound of

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ceil}(N^* (\beta - 1)) &\leq \Sigma(A_j - 1) &\leq \text{floor}(N^* (\beta - 1) + \Sigma 1/a_j/\log(2)) \\ &&\leq \text{floor}(N^* (\beta - 1) + m 2^{-50}) \end{aligned}$$

The term $c = N^* (\beta - 1)$ needs to be near below an integer number r , such that $c + m 2^{-50}$ can be greater than r . About the approximation of $\text{frac}(N^* \beta)$ only the order is known; it seems, using the locally best approximations (which also occur as convergents in the continued fraction representation) are decreasing with N , so to find an approximation of $\text{frac}(N^* \beta) < 1/s$ then N must be greater than s . So to find an N such that $N^* (\beta - 1)$ is less than $m^* 1/2^{50}$ apart from $\text{ceil}(N^* (\beta - 1))$ it seems, that N must itself be of the order $2^{50}/m$. With a m -peak-cycle with $m > 1$ the rhs- " $+ m^* 2^{-50}$ "-term in (33) increases and N can decrease accordingly to the weaker requirement of approximation of $N^* (\beta - 1)$ to $\text{ceil}(N^* (\beta - 1))$.